



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,168

12/10/2003

Sven Thate

54129

5053

26474

7590

01/22/2010

NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA + QUIGG LLP

1300 EYE STREET NW

SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

APICELLA, KARIE O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/22/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/731,168	Applicant(s) THATE ET AL.	
	Examiner Karie O'Neill Apicella	Art Unit 1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 30, 2009, has been entered.

Claims 1, 6 and 13 have been amended. Claim 2 has been cancelled.
Therefore, Claims 1 and 3-13 are pending in this office action.

2. The Declaration under 37 CFR §1.132, submitted November 12, 2009, has been received and considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 is dependent from Claim 2, which has been cancelled. For purposes of compact prosecution, Examiner is treating Claim 3 as being dependent from Claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 1795

4. The rejection of Claims 1-11 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradley (US 6,346,182 B1), is overcome based on the amendments to the claims and the Declaration submitted on November 12, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Divisek et al. (US 6,277,261 B1).

With regard to Claim 1, Divisek et al. discloses a method of fabricating a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA), called an electrode-electrolyte unit, particularly for PEM fuel cells (column 4, lines 60-62), wherein the MEA comprises a polymer-electrolyte membrane (PEM) (1) having reaction layers (2) applied to both sides (column 44-46) and gas diffusion layers (column 3, lines 43-44), wherein at least one of the reaction layers includes at least one catalytic component provided from a metal salt solution from which catalytically active metal can be extracted (column 2, lines 23-25) and an electron conductor, called an electrode (3,4) (column 3, lines 27-30), and wherein the method comprises the following steps:

A) introducing ions of the at least one catalytic component into the polymer-electrolyte membrane, catalytically active material is embedded in the solid material electrolyte (column 2, lines 31-33 and column 3, lines 23-26),

B) applying the electron conductor, or electrodes (3,4) to both sides of the polymer-electrolyte membrane (1) (column 2, lines 61-64),

C) electrochemically depositing the ions of the catalytic component (column 4, lines 12-16), the electrochemical deposition being carried out under fuel cell conditions, where an electrochemically active three-phase boundary is present (column 3, lines 43-47), from the polymer-electrolyte membrane, introduced into the reaction layers, onto the electron conductor on at least one side of the polymer-electrolyte membrane (column 4, lines 47-52). The phrases "particularly for PEM fuel cells" and "optionally having gas diffusion layers" are given little to no patentable weight since the words "particularly" and "optionally" do not make these claim limitations a requirement.

With regard to Claim 3, Divisek et al. discloses wherein a variation of operating conditions, such as applying a current density and a voltage (column 4, lines 47-48), is effected during the deposition under fuel cell conditions.

With regard to Claims 4 and 5, Divisek et al. discloses wherein the electrochemical deposition of the ions of the catalytic component in step C) is carried out under electrolytic conditions, wherein the electrolytic conditions comprise the application of constant voltage of at least 1.23 V at room temperature or at a raised temperature (column 4, lines 47-52).

With regard to Claim 6, Divisek et al. discloses a method of fabricating a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA), called an electrode-electrolyte unit, particularly for PEM fuel cells (column 4, lines 60-62), wherein the MEA comprises a polymer-electrolyte membrane (PEM) (1) having reaction layers (2) applied to both sides (column 44-46) and having gas distribution layers (column 3, lines 43-44), wherein at least one of the reaction layers includes at least one catalytic component provided from a metal salt solution from which catalytically active metal can be extracted (column 2, lines 23-25) and an electron conductor, called an electrode (3,4) (column 3, lines 27-30), and wherein the method comprises the following steps:

A) introducing ions of the at least one catalytic component into the polymer-electrolyte membrane, catalytically active material is embedded in the solid material electrolyte (column 2, lines 31-33 and column 3, lines 23-26),

B) applying the electron conductor, or electrodes (3,4) to both sides of the polymer-electrolyte membrane (1) (column 2, lines 61-64),

C) electrochemically depositing the ions of the catalytic component (column 4, lines 12-16), the electrochemical deposition being carried out under fuel cell conditions, where an electrochemically active three-phase boundary is present (column 3, lines 43-47), from the polymer-electrolyte membrane, introduced into the reaction layers, onto the electron conductor on at least one side of the polymer-electrolyte membrane (column 4, lines 47-52), wherein in step C) at least one element from the 3rd to 14th group of the periodic table of the elements, specifically Pt, is deposited as the catalytic component onto the electron conductor on at least one side of the polymer-electrolyte

Art Unit: 1795

membrane (column 4, lines 47-52). The phrases "particularly for PEM fuel cells" and "optionally having gas diffusion layers" are given little to no patentable weight since the words "particularly" and "optionally" do not make these claim limitations a requirement.

With regard to Claim 9, Divisek et al. discloses wherein the electron conductor comprises a flexible graphite mesh or a graphite paper with suitable electronic conductivity and suitable porosity for establishing electric contact (column 5, lines 14-16).

With regard to Claim 10, Divisek et al. discloses wherein the electron conductor applied in step B) comprises at least one catalytic component from the group consisting of Pt for both the anode and the cathode (column 4, lines 60-63).

With regard to Claim 11, Divisek et al. discloses wherein in step B), together with the electron conductor, an ion conductor is applied to at least one side of the polymer-electrolyte membrane, this being an ion conductive polymer that is part of the solution (2) that is a coating on the electrolyte layer (1) (column 3, lines 9-15 and column 4, lines 1-3).

With regard to Claim 12, Divisek et al. discloses wherein the catalytic component in step A) is introduced into the polymer-electrolyte membrane in an amount so selected that up to 0.01 to 1 mg metal per cm^2 can be deposited (column 2, lines 38-41).

With regard to Claim 13, Divisek et al. discloses a method of fabricating a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA), called an electrode-electrolyte unit, particularly for PEM fuel cells (column 4, lines 60-62), wherein the MEA comprises a polymer-electrolyte membrane (PEM) (1) having reaction layers (2) applied to both sides

Art Unit: 1795

(column 44-46) and gas diffusion layers (column 3, lines 43-44), wherein at least one of the reaction layers includes at least one catalytic component provided from a metal salt solution from which catalytically active metal can be extracted (column 2, lines 23-25) and an electron conductor, called an electrode (3,4) (column 3, lines 27-30), and wherein the method comprises the following steps:

A) introducing ions of the at least one catalytic component into the polymer-electrolyte membrane, catalytically active material is embedded in the solid material electrolyte (column 2, lines 31-33 and column 3, lines 23-26),

B) subsequently, applying the electron conductor, or electrodes (3,4) to both sides of the polymer-electrolyte membrane (1) (column 2, lines 61-64 and column 4, lines 1-6),

C) electrochemically depositing the ions of the catalytic component (column 4, lines 12-16), which, in step A), were introduced into the polymer electrolyte membrane, introduced into the reaction layers, the electrochemical deposition being carried out under fuel cell conditions, where an electrochemically active three-phase boundary is present (column 3, lines 43-47), onto the electron conductor (column 4, lines 47-52).

The phrases "particularly for PEM fuel cells" and "optionally having gas diffusion layers" are given little to no patentable weight since the words "particularly" and "optionally" do not make these claim limitations a requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1795

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Divisek et al. (US 6,277,261 B1), as applied to Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-13 above.

Divisek et al. discloses in paragraph 6 above, the method of fabricating a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA), called an electrode-electrolyte unit, particularly for PEM fuel cells (column 4, lines 60-62), wherein the MEA comprises a polymer-electrolyte membrane (PEM) (1) having reaction layers (2) applied to both sides (column 44-46) and gas diffusion layers (column 3, lines 43-44), wherein at least one of the reaction layers includes at least one catalytic component provided from a metal salt solution from which catalytically active metal can be extracted (column 2, lines 23-25) and an electron conductor, called an electrode (3,4) (column 3, lines 27-30). Divisek et al. discloses wherein electrolysis is conducted until all the platinum is deposited on the porous electrically conductive layer, or the electrodes (column 4, lines 47-52). Divisek et al. discloses that one of the electrodes abuts the catalytic solution coating and the other electrode abuts the opposite side of the electrolyte layer (column 4, lines 4-6). Divisek et al. does not specifically disclose wherein the catalytic component is deposited on the cathode-side electron conductor or on the anode-side electron conductor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention and known in the art that the catalytic component would be present on either

Art Unit: 1795

the cathode-side conductor or the anode-side conductor, after the electrochemical deposition occurs under fuel cell conditions, since the catalyst will pass through the electrolyte material onto the opposite side from which the catalyst is originally provided.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karie O'Neill Apicella whose telephone number is (571)272-8614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karie O'Neill Apicella/
Examiner
Art Unit 1795

KOA